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Using Key Components of a MTSS Framework

Implementing the Common Core Learning Standards within MTSS

Integrating the Data-Based Problem-Solving Process (RtI) into a MTSS

Aligning Instruction/Interventions with the CCLS and Integrating Instructional Practices Across the Tiers

Ensuring the Integration of Academic Skills, Academic Behavior Expectations and Scaffolding to Maximize Student Engagement within the Instructional Process

Meeting the Needs of Students with Disabilities and Students with 504 Accommodations Through Specially Designed Instruction within an MTSS Framework

Have courageous conversations

Reflect, celebrate, reverberate, breathe

GET FIRED UP!
Review

Last time we talked about:

- The similarities and differences between RtI and MTSS
- The six components of MTSS
- What it “looks” like
- Levels of implementation
- Some of the basic principles important to MTSS
Today...
We are going to talk about...

• Some fundamentals of instruction within a MTSS
• Integrating academics and behavior
• Using academic engaged time and scheduling more intensive instruction
• Aligning MTSS with the CCLS
• How to “unpack” the standards in order to focus instruction
First, how do we look at instruction from the student (learner) perspective?
Student Achievement
Student Performance

• **Academic Skills**
  – Goal setting tied to state/district standards
  – Common Core Learning Standards
  – Developmental Standards

• **Academic Behaviors-Student Engagement**
  – Behaviors associated with successful completion of the academic skills
  – On-task, listening, following-directions, ignoring distractions, self-monitoring, goal setting, content of private speech

• **Inter-/Intra-Personal Behaviors**
  – Behaviors that support social skills
  – Social/emotional development
Every academic skill has an associated academic behavior...
## Academic Behaviors Checklist
(Skillstreaming, Research Press)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Behaviors</th>
<th>Present</th>
<th>Absent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Following Directions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verbal Participation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asking a Question</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Setting a Goal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completing Work</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ignoring Distractions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Making Corrections</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sharing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asking for Help</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taking Turns</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accepting Correction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accepting Praise</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Giving Praise</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-Monitoring</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-Instruction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raising Hand</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Failure to consider the academic “behaviors” required in the teaching-learning process will result in...

• Students lacking the skills to engage the instruction
• Instruction that can facilitate student disengagement in ways that are disruptive
• Lack of student achievement because they lack the skills necessary to participate in/practice the academic skills
• Potential labeling as “unmotivated” or “disinterested” because they lack the skills that would be interpreted as “motivated” or “interested.”
We want to think about...

• Designing instruction in the context of what skills students will need to engage the instruction
• Designing instruction that aligns with engagement skills that the students possess
• Directly teaching the engagement skills that students need but lack
  – E.g., Participation routines (see—Explicit Instruction, by Anita Archer)
Integration of Academics, Behavior and Universal Design
Cycle of Academic and Behavioral Failure: Aggressive Response

(McIntosh, 2008)

Teacher presents student with grade level academic task

Student engages in problem behavior

Teacher removes academic task or removes student

Student escapes academic task

So, which is it…

Academic problems lead to behavior problems? OR

Behavior problems lead to academic problems?

Not sure…

Probably a combination of both
Highly Effective Practices: Research

• The evidence of a transactional relationship (confined, collateral, combined) with reading and behavioral interventions. (*Bruhn & Watt, 2013; Cook et al., 2013*)

• High quality academic instruction (e.g., content matched to student success level, frequent opportunity to respond, frequent feedback) by itself can reduce problem behavior (*Filter & Horner, 2009; Preciado, Horner, Scott, & Baker, 2009, Sanford, 2006*)

• Implementation of school-wide positive behavior support leads to increased academic engaged time and enhanced academic outcomes (*Algozzine & Algozzine, 2007; Horner et al., 2009; Lassen, Steele, & Sailor, 2006*)

• “Viewed as outcomes, achievement and behavior are related; viewed as causes of the other, achievement and behavior are unrelated. (*Algozzine, et al., 2011*)

• Children who fall behind academically will be more likely to find academic work aversive and also find escape-maintained problem behaviors reinforcing (*McIntosh, 2008; McIntosh, Sadler, & Brown, 2010*)
Reading Problems and Dropout

• A student who can’t read on grade level by 3rd grade is 4 times less likely to graduate by age 19 than a child who reads proficiently by that time. Add POVERTY to the mix, and a student is 13 times less likely to graduate on time.

However, students who did not read proficiently at 3rd grade constitute 88% of those who did not earn a diploma.

Low reading skills in 3rd grade are a stronger predictor of dropping out of school than having spent at least one year in poverty.

Donald J. Hernandez
Some Fundamental Principles of Teaching and Learning

• **Academic Engaged Time (AET)**
  
  – AET predicts student performance better than any other variable, including:
    
    • IQ
    • Language
    • SES
    • Disability
    • Culture/Race
  
  – Amount of time students are engaged in quality instruction
  
  – Includes evidence-based instructional strategies
  
  – Matched to student context, culture and relevance
  
  – With student engagement in the process
How do we manage instructional time as it relates to student growth rates?

• Start with time allocated for Tier 1
  – E.g., 90 minutes/day for ELS (450/week)
  – E.g., 50 minutes/day for Algebra (250/week)

• What is the level of GAP for students who are behind?

• How much additional time of quality instruction is needed? Up to 50% more in next tier!!
How do we manage instructional time as it relates to student growth rates?

- **Tier 1**
  - 90 minutes/day ELA
  - 50 minutes/day Algebra

- **Tier 2 (Up to +50%)**
  - 135 minutes/day ELA
  - 75 minutes/day Algebra

- **Tier 3 (Up to +50%)**
  - 180 minutes/day ELA
  - 100 minutes/day Algebra
How do we do this?
# Example of Grade Level Schedule

## Fourth Grade Schedule 2008-09

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIME</th>
<th>SUBJECT</th>
<th>Course Code</th>
<th>Minutes</th>
<th>TIME</th>
<th>SUBJECT</th>
<th>Course Code</th>
<th>Minutes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8:35-8:40</td>
<td>Morning Routine (attendance, lunch, etc.)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8:35-8:40</td>
<td>Morning Routine (attendance, lunch, etc.)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:40-8:45</td>
<td>Morning News</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8:40-8:45</td>
<td>Morning News</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:45-10:15</td>
<td>Reading</td>
<td>5010050</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>8:45-10:15</td>
<td>Reading</td>
<td>5010050</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:15-10:45</td>
<td>PE</td>
<td>5015010</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>10:15-10:45</td>
<td>PE</td>
<td>5015010</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:45-10:55</td>
<td>Reading Enrichment</td>
<td>5010050E</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10:45-10:55</td>
<td>Reading Enrichment</td>
<td>5010050E</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Music 50130000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Literacy 5010050</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Guidance 5022000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:25-12:00</td>
<td>Science</td>
<td>5020000</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>11:25-12:00</td>
<td>Language Arts OR Language Arts ESOL*</td>
<td>5010040</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5010010</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:00-12:30</td>
<td>Lunch</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>12:00-12:30</td>
<td>Lunch</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:30-1:00</td>
<td>Reading Intervention</td>
<td>5010020</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>12:30-1:00</td>
<td>Reading Intervention</td>
<td>5010020</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:00-2:00</td>
<td>Math</td>
<td>5012060</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1:00-2:00</td>
<td>Math</td>
<td>5012060</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:00-3:00</td>
<td>Language Arts OR Language Arts ESOL*</td>
<td>5010040</td>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5010010</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Minutes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>375</td>
<td>Total Minutes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Instructional Minutes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>345</td>
<td>Total Instructional Minutes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>285</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* = Sheltered
High School Example

- 3 “levels” of algebra
- Each teacher teaches 1 “advanced”, 2 “general” and 1 “low performing” section
- Students attended 1 section, including those performing below grade level.
- Modified schedule in order to have students in the “low performing” sections have 7 periods/week. A 40% increase
  - From 250 minutes a week to 350 minutes/week.
- Same teachers, no additional staff.
- End of 2016, “low performing” students averaged 8% higher scores than the students in the “general section” and 88% of those students received a grade of C or higher.
- All passed Algebra.
Some Fundamental Principles

• **Rate of Growth**
  
  • Where is the student now?
  • Where is the student supposed to be?
  • How much time do we have to get there?
  • Is that time realistic?

  – Rate of growth is the best measure of student response to instruction and intervention

  – Rate of growth is used within an early warning system to determine if students will attain benchmarks *before time runs out and while we have time left to modify instruction*

  – Rate of Growth is the best measure of effectiveness of instruction AND the most fair measure.
The term UNIVERSAL DESIGN FOR LEARNING means a scientifically valid framework for guiding educational practice that:

- (A) provides flexibility in the ways information is presented, in the ways students respond or demonstrate knowledge and skills, and in the ways students are engaged; and
- (B) reduces barriers in instruction, provides appropriate accommodations, supports, and challenges, and maintains high achievement expectations for all students, including students with disabilities and students who are limited English proficient.
Three Principles

• Principle I: Provide Multiple Means of Representation (the “what” of learning)
  – Perceptions, Language expressions and symbols and Comprehension

• Principle II: Provide Multiple Means of Action and Expression (the “how” of learning)
  – Physical action, Expression and communication and Executive function

• Principle III: Provide Multiple Means of Engagement (the “why” of learning)
  – Recruiting Interest, Sustaining effort and persistence and Self-regulation
Standards-based Instruction Model

Standard or Benchmark Aligned to Course Description
- Guides the development of the lesson beginning with the desired outcome

Learning Goals
- Describes what students should know and be able to do
- Includes essential questions and
- Rubrics to define levels of knowledge acquisition

Engaging Lesson
- Includes appropriate and meaningful activities that engage students in the learning process, address common misconceptions, and incorporate higher-order thinking skills

Formative, Interim, and/or Summative Assessments
- Provides multiple sources of student data to guide decisions about adjusting instruction and/or providing interventions

Florida Department of Education Bureau of Curriculum and Instruction (www.fldoe.org/bii)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kindergarten</th>
<th>1&lt;sup&gt;st&lt;/sup&gt; Grade</th>
<th>2&lt;sup&gt;nd&lt;/sup&gt; Grade</th>
<th>3&lt;sup&gt;rd&lt;/sup&gt; Grade</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>READING STANDARDS FOR LITERATURE, Key Ideas and Details</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. With prompting and support, retell familiar stories, including <strong>key details</strong>.</td>
<td>2. Retell stories, including key details, and demonstrate understanding of their <strong>central message or lesson</strong>.</td>
<td>2. Recount stories, including fables and folktales from diverse cultures, and determine their <strong>central message, lesson, or moral</strong>.</td>
<td>2. Recount stories, including fables, folktales, and myths from diverse cultures; determine the <strong>central message, lesson, or moral</strong> and explain how it is conveyed through <strong>key details</strong> in the text.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**How is the demand of this standard rising across the grades?**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3&lt;sup&gt;rd&lt;/sup&gt; Grade</th>
<th>4&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt; Grade</th>
<th>5&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt; Grade</th>
<th>6&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt; Grade</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2. Recount stories, including fables, folktales, and myths from diverse cultures; determine the central message, lesson, or moral and explain how it is conveyed through key details in the text.</td>
<td>2. Determine a theme of a story, drama, or poem from details in the text; summarize the text.</td>
<td>2. Determine a theme of a story, drama, or poem from details in the text, including how characters in a story or drama respond to challenges or how the speaker in a poem reflects upon a topic; summarize the text.</td>
<td>2. Determine a theme or central idea of a text and how it is conveyed through particular details; provide a summary of the text distinct from personal opinions or judgments.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**How is the demand of this standard rising across the grades?**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>7th Grade</th>
<th>8th Grade</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2. Determine a theme or central idea of a text and analyze its development over the course of the text; provide an objective summary of the text.</td>
<td>2. Determine a theme or central idea of a text and analyze its development over the course of the text, including its relationship to the characters, setting, and plot; provide an objective summary of the text.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**How is the demand of this standard rising across the grades?**
## 2. Determine a theme or central idea of a text and analyze in detail its development over the course of the text, including how it emerges and is shaped and refined by specific details; provide an objective summary of the text.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>9th - 10th Grade</th>
<th>11th - 12th Grade</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2. Determine a theme or central idea of a text and analyze in detail its development over the course of the text, including how it emerges and is shaped and refined by specific details; provide an objective summary of the text.</td>
<td>2. Determine two or more central ideas of a text and analyze their development over the course of the text, including how they interact and build on one another to provide a complex analysis; provide an objective summary of the text.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**How is the demand of this standard rising across the grades?**
Some Fundamental Principles

- **Standards Based Instruction**
  - What students should know and be able to do
    - Recount stories, including fables, folktales, and myths from diverse cultures; determine the central message, lesson, or moral and explain how it is conveyed through key details in the text.
  - Clearly defined for each grade level and subject area
  - Serve as the content for high-stakes assessment
  - Utilizes benchmark assessment to determine if students and the curriculum is “on-track”
  - Assists in the identification of “essential elements” of instruction
Unpacking Standards

The unpacking process allows teachers and administrators to determine what matters most (i.e. pacing, assessment, critical focus areas)

- Clarity
- Alignment
- Continuity
- Integration
- Baseline

http://youtu.be/sTd7TN1_vsM
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=sTd7TN1_vsM
Moving From Standards to Skills Necessary to Attain Those Standards

• Standard
• Academic skills needed to access the standard
• Academic skills students have and do not have
  – This is where we target our instruction for struggling students
• Academic behaviors necessary to engage instruction
• How do we use UDL to increase access to content
  – “Accommodations” for students with disabilities
  – Increasing access for ALL students
Problem Solving Process

Identify the Goal
What Do We Want Students to Know, Understand and Be Able to Do? (KUD)

Evaluate
Response to Intervention (RtI)

Problem Analysis
WHY are they not doing it? Identify Variables that Contribute to the Lack of Desired Outcomes

Implement Plan
Implement As Intended
Progress Monitor
Modify as Necessary
**STANDARDS-BASED** Instructional Planning

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GRADE:</th>
<th>SUBJECT:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**STANDARD:** Recount stories, including fables, folktales, and myths from diverse cultures; determine the central message, lesson, or moral and explain how it is conveyed through key details in the text.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SKILLS: What students should DO</th>
<th>CONCEPTS: What students should KNOW</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VOCAB</td>
<td>NOUNS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on Assessments:

a. Which access skills does the student possess?

b. Which skills require initial instruction or strengthening?

c. What Academic BEHAVIORS (Engagement) must the student have to engage instruction?

**ESSENTIAL QUESTIONS** for Tier 2/3 Instruction

1. What Universal Design Strategies can reduce or neutralize the impact of the deficit areas (e.g., text to speech)?
2. What Instructional strategies should be used in Tier 1?
3. How will instruction incorporate Tier 1 materials, pacing, scope and sequence? (e.g., pre-teach, review, reteach)
Important Knowings

• Standards do not indicate HOW students are to demonstrate attainment-e.g., literacy
  – *Recount* stories
  – *determine* the *central message*
  – *explain* how it is conveyed

• If a student’s fluency was significantly below grade level and you used text to speech strategy to access content, how might this student demonstrate attainment of the standard if they could not “read” the material or “write” an answer?
Important Knowings

• Standards do not say HOW students are to demonstrate attainment—e.g., literacy

• Given this standard, what are ways in which students could recount stories and determine the central message of a story without writing?