Tier III Critical Components Checklist

School Name: FL			or District Student ID:						
Sch	nool Year: 2007-08 2008-09 2009-10 2010-11	2	011-	12					
Date Initial Meeting Occurred:			Grade Level:						
Are	Area(s) of Concern (Check all that apply): Reading Math Behavior								
eac Ass pap	ections: For each selected student, please use the scale prove he critical component of problem-solving is present in the Prostance Team, School-Based Intervention Team, Student Suberwork. See the attached rubric for the criteria for determination present.	roble acces	m-So s Tea	lving T ım, Chi	Ceam (i.e., Intervention ild Study Team)				
Component		0 = Absent 1 = Partially Present 2 = Present		ially ent	Evidence/Comments				
Pr	oblem Identification								
1.	Replacement behavior (i.e., target skill) was identified	0	1	2					
2.	Data were collected to determine the target student's current level of performance, the expected level, and peer performance	0	1	2					
3.	A gap analysis between the student's current level of performance and the benchmark, and the peers' current level of performance (or adequate representation of peer performance) and the benchmark was conducted	0	1	2					
Pr	oblem Analysis								
4.	Hypotheses were developed across multiple domains (e.g., curriculum, classroom, home/family, child, teacher, peers) or a functional analysis of behavior was completed	0	1	2					
5.	Data were used to determine viable or active hypotheses for why students were not attaining benchmarks	0	1	2					
In	tervention Development and Implementation								
	A complete intervention plan (i.e., who, what, when) was developed in areas for which data were available and hypotheses were verified	0	1	2					
7.	An intervention support plan was developed (including actions to be taken, who is responsible, and when the actions will occur)	0	1	2					
8.	A plan for assessing intervention integrity (i.e., fidelity)	0	1	2					

0

was agreed upon

9. Frequency, focus, dates of progress monitoring, and

responsibilities for collecting the data were agreed upon

Component			ent cially ent	Evidence/Comments			
10. Criteria for positive response to intervention were agreed upon prior to implementing the intervention plan	0	1	2				
11. A follow-up meeting was scheduled at the initial meeting	0	1	2				
Program Evaluation/RtI							
12. Progress monitoring data were collected and presented graphically	0	1	2				
13. Documentation of implementation of the intervention plan was presented	0	1	2				
14. A decision regarding good, questionable, or poor RtI was made	0	1	2				
15. A decision to continue, modify, or terminate the intervention plan was made	0	1	2				
16. An additional follow-up meeting was scheduled to readdress student progress at the follow-up meeting	0	1	2				

Additional Comments:									