

## Integrating Tiered Data Based Decision Making to Address Essential Questions in an RTI Process:

### Grade Level Data Team Meetings

Seth Aldrich, Ph.D.  
NYS TAC Consortium Member  
NY State Licensed Psychologist  
Bilingual School Psychologist

Tiered DBDM - Seth Aldrich Ph.D.

1

Today we will cover:

- Who should be at grade level data meetings
- Meeting steps/procedures to address essential questions
- Different questions addressed at the fall, winter and spring data meetings
- Using measures that address effectiveness of core instruction and prioritize students for targeted, tiered supports
- Use of diagnostic assessment information to understand student needs for *targeted* interventions
- Plan progress monitoring logistics
- Use information collected at meetings to inform other levels of decision making

*Planning, Coordination, Communication, Responding*

Tiered DBDM - Seth Aldrich Ph.D.

2

Polls

Demographics (roles, grades)

Tiered DBDM - Seth Aldrich Ph.D.

3


### Differentiation/Intervention/Assessment – 3 Tiers

#### Behavioral

**Tier 3:** Intensive social, emotional and/or behavioral intervention such as: **Individual/crisis counseling, alternate setting for breaks, BIP based on FBA, community based intervention, medical intervention.** Evaluation (formative as well as diagnostic) may be warranted to target intervention

**Tier 2:** Individual (perhaps less frequent or as needed) group counseling/skills training, self monitoring, frequent home-school communication and systematic behavior plans may be necessary to address problem(s).

**Tier 1:** Effective classroom management including good instructional match and clear, reasonable expectations are implemented on a school-wide/class-wide basis. Positive interactions/acknowledgements teach prosocial behavior and build respectful relationships



Tiered DBDM - Seth Aldrich Ph.D.

4

#### Academic

**Tier 3:** At risk for life long academic difficulties. Require specialized instruction, supports, modifications and accommodations in order to be successful. Daily intensive intervention, weekly monitoring and 'diagnostic' assessment to assure best possible progress.

**Tier 2:** May need temporary or ongoing support and differentiation in order to succeed in core instruction. Small group intervention with weekly or biweekly progress monitoring

**Tier 1:** All students receive evidence-based, differentiated core instruction. Universal screening 3+ times per year helps to identify students most at risk to prioritize for intervention and to evaluate effectiveness of core instruction

### DBDM is part of the RTI problem solving process and addresses the following questions

- What do the students know? (What are their needs and what do we need to teach?)
- Are programs and practices in our school effective in meeting student needs? (Are there certain groups whose needs are not being addressed?)
- Who are the students who we prioritize for additional supports?
- Is the student making progress (Do I stay the course or make an instructional adjustment?)
- What do we need to do to improve our educational system for all students? (e.g., materials, scheduling, professional development)

*Data needs to be organized and communicated effectively with key audiences*

Tiered DBDM - Seth Aldrich Ph.D.

5


### DBDM Within a Tiered RTI Problem Solving Process

Individualized problem solving meetings for most intense and/or complex problems

Progress monitor 'check up' meetings to change or support interventions if when warranted (based on progress monitoring and diagnostic data)

Post benchmark (screening) data meetings for all students to evaluate programs/overall school/grade level risk and assures differentiated instruction and positive behavioral supports

Informal discussion with colleagues



Some students may need Multidisciplinary Team meetings (MDT) Decision making concerning students with disabilities or suspected disabilities often related to decisions made at CSE

District/School decision making to improve programs based on data (e.g., core instruction, intervention resources, professional development needs) (All tiers)

Tiered DBDM - Seth Aldrich Ph.D.

6

## Response to Intervention (RTI)

A tiered problem solving process in schools might be:

Informal consultation with colleagues (All tiers)

**Post Benchmark Data Meetings** (All tiers September, January and May/June, but focus primarily on tiers 2 and 3 in January and May/June)

**Checkpoint Data Meetings** (efficient and responsive) (Tier 2 and 3 at about the October 10 week and March 30 week points)

**Effective problem solving team meetings** to identify and understand more complex problems for individual students. Plan and evaluate interventions (typically Tiers 2b and 3)

**Multidisciplinary Team (MDT) meetings** – CSE decision making (initial reviews, re-evaluation review planning)

District/School RTI team meetings - Make decisions concerning resources, decision making and infrastructure

## Universal Screening/Benchmark Assessments

### Assessment Qualities

- Valid and reliable
- Brief/Efficient
- Administration logistics are feasible (e.g., easily trained)
- Measure important foundation academic skills
- Predict student risk
- Independent from a specific curriculum
- Can be communicated with a variety of audiences for a variety of purposes

### Assessment Purposes

- Identify proportion of students at risk (program evaluation)
- Identified underserved populations (program evaluation)
- Examine and guide core instruction (program evaluation)
- Identify whether number of students at risk is increasing or decreasing (program evaluation)
- Prioritize students needing intervention at each tier
- Guide student instruction
- Establish a baseline for goals

Tiered DBDM - Seth Aldrich Ph.D.

## 2 Poll

- 1. RTI universal screening used in your school?
  - STAR
  - ARIAS
  - FastBridge
  - DBELS
  - NWEA
  - iReady
  - iStation
  - Fourstar and Pinnell
  - DRA
  - District Created Measure
  - NY State Test
  - Other
  - None
- 2. RTI progress monitoring tool used in your school?
  - STAR
  - ARIAS
  - DBELS
  - FastBridge
  - iReady
  - iStation
  - Fourstar and Pinnell
  - DRA
  - District created measures
  - Other
  - None

Tiered DBDM - Seth Aldrich Ph.D.

## Grade Level Post Benchmark Data Meetings

Purpose: Using data to prioritize, plan and coordinate targeted interventions and progress monitoring at a grade level

September	In-between	January	In-between	May-June
<b>Post Benchmark (Screening)</b>	Progress monitoring check up meeting(s)	<b>Post Benchmark (Screening)</b>	Progress monitoring check up meeting(s)	<b>Post Benchmark (Screening)</b>

Tiered DBDM - Seth Aldrich Ph.D.

10

## Poll

Do you currently hold grade level meetings ('data meetings') after each benchmark assessment?

- Yes - With additional grade level meetings to formally review progress monitoring data
- Yes - Three times per year
- We have meetings to review benchmark data but not with the entire grade level
- Partially - One or two times per year
- No

Tiered DBDM - Seth Aldrich Ph.D.

11

## Why Grade Level Meetings? Do the Math!

If we *only* did individual student problem solving:

Typical school of 450 students

About 20% need some form of a problem solving process to assure that they are receiving necessary academic and/or behavioral supports = **90 Students**



Two traditional individualized 30-40 minute problem solving team meetings per week (Identify problem; Understand problem; Set goals, Plan intervention, Plan to evaluate and support intervention) starting in the fall.

40 weeks in a school year; Meet on 80 students.

The last 10 of the 90 students get meetings in July (and this is without follow up meetings!)

*Many students need multi-tiered, targeted supports in September with follow up.*

Tiered DBDM - Seth Aldrich Ph.D.

12

### Why Grade Level Meetings? Do the Math! If we *only* did individual student problem solving

Grade level data meetings put all the data on the table, consider all available resources to address needs, and provide an opportunity for schools to make important decisions that benefit all students in a timely manner.

Tiered DBDM - Seth Aldrich Ph.D.

13

### Post-benchmark data meetings



When	Members	Purpose
After Fall, Winter, and Spring administration of universal screening	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Grade level teachers</li> <li>Interventionists at that grade level</li> <li>School administrator,</li> <li>School psychologist and or other support staff that can facilitate discussions based on data and match problems to interventions</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Examine grade level needs (including core instruction)</li> <li>Address needs of many students through a timely, coordinated process</li> <li>Assign students to targeted tiered interventions</li> <li>Progress monitoring logistics</li> <li>Prioritize students who require further steps</li> </ul>

Tiered DBDM - Seth Aldrich Ph.D.

14

### Advanced and Ongoing Preparation for the Post-benchmark Meeting (Fall, Winter, Spring)

1. Complete an inventory of intervention resources *in advance of* the data meeting.

2. Create separate tables of Tier 3, 2, 1 interventions

3. Consider creating a table for interventions used for English as a New Language (ENL) students

#### Skill addressed (Consider):

- Phonics,
- Phonemic awareness,
- Fluency,
- Vocabulary,
- Comprehension

#### Source of evidence:

- Peer reviewed articles with control groups,
- FCRR,
- What Works Clearinghouse, National Center on Intensive Intervention

*The best RTI infrastructure and process, with qualified motivated educators, will not benefit students if staff are not provided with effective tools and the professional development to use them.*

Tiered DBDM - Seth Aldrich Ph.D.

15

***The best RTI infrastructure and process, with qualified motivated educators, will not benefit students, if educators are not provided with effective tools and the professional development to use them.***

Tiered DBDM - Seth Aldrich Ph.D.

16

### Advanced and Ongoing Preparation for the Post-benchmark Meeting (Fall, Winter, Spring)

School/District RTI Team with input from grade level staff complete this intervention resource inventory and update continuously

Intervention Name	Grade(s) used	Skill(s) addressed	Source of evidence	Needed supports (training, staff)	Time per day needed	Days per week	Group size	How fidelity is assessed



Tiered DBDM - Seth Aldrich Ph.D.

17

### Preparing for the Post-benchmark Meeting (Fall, Winter, Spring)

- Organize grade level data to prioritize most at risk students in areas that predict risk (e.g., phonics, phonemic awareness, fluency, vocabulary, comprehension)
  - Consider all 'strong' data available.
  - School/District RTI team may provide guidance for grade level meetings by meeting and reviewing data
- Use color coded tables with universal screening to indicate varying degrees of risk in different areas for the entire grade level.
- Begin to consider: What issues do we need to address at a classroom or grade level and what can be addressed through multi-tiered supports?

Tiered DBDM - Seth Aldrich Ph.D.

18

## Preparing for the Post-benchmark Meeting (Fall, Winter, Spring)

- Consider cut scores and 'decision rules' developed by district (if available)
- Come into meeting prepared* with other data to support or disconfirm risk and needs identified by benchmark data (formal and informal diagnostic assessments)
- For Winter and Spring meetings review and reflect on progress monitoring data for Tier 2 and 3 students.

Tiered DBDM - Seth Aldrich Ph.D.


19

## Prioritizing students for targeted tiered intervention: Decision rules

- The use of **decision rules** by a school/district team expedites the decision making process for teams by providing a 'common ground' for how students are prioritized for multi-tiered interventions.
- Decision rules guide:**
  - Tier 2 and 3 placement
  - Determining when to intensify, end, or otherwise change small group/individualized instruction

Tiered DBDM - Seth Aldrich Ph.D.

20



Tiered DBDM - Seth Aldrich Ph.D.

21

## Routines and Procedures in a Tiered Problem Solving Process – Post Benchmark Grade Level Meetings

**Step 1** Examine grade level needs and effectiveness of core instruction (Tier 1)

**Step 2** Prioritize students for targeted tiered intervention.

**Step 2b** Identify instructional needs based on formal and informal diagnostic assessments.

**Step 3** Plan and assign students to *targeted*, tiered intervention (Tier 3, Tier 2)

**Step 4** Plan needed supports at Tier 1

**Step 5** Identify progress monitoring logistics (Identify measures, set goals)

**Step 6** Identify students who need further meeting or diagnostic assessments (e.g., individualized problem solving).

Tiered DBDM - Seth Aldrich Ph.D.

22

## Routines and Procedures in a Tiered Problem Solving Process – Post Benchmark Grade Level Meetings

### Fall Meeting Considerations: Planning the year ahead

**Step 1** Examine grade level needs (Tier 1)

Are needs similar to those in previous years? Are there areas where we need to focus supports? For K, Do we have more or less at risk 'incoming' this year?  
*Note:* During the fall benchmark, results may reflect instruction from previous grade. Even then, risk may have been reduced during that year. Judge others with caution!!!

**Step 2** Prioritize students for targeted, tiered intervention.

For grades one and higher, corroborate fall data with previous year's spring benchmark and other data  
*Caution:* Fall scores may be impacted by regression in skills over the summer. Do not assess too early. Triangulate with past year's spring data, to prioritize students

**Step 2b** Identify instructional needs based on formal and informal diagnostic assessments.

Have additional diagnostic data collected and ready to communicate at the meeting, especially for Tier 3

**Step 3** Plan and assign students to *targeted*, tiered intervention (Tier 3, Tier 2)

At the fall benchmark there may be less current diagnostic and progress monitor data available  
 This is a significant step during the Fall meeting as instructional groups are just being set up for the year

**Step 4** Plan needed supports at Tier 1 (Don't overload Tier 2 and Tier 3!)

**Step 5** Identify progress monitoring logistics (Identify measures, set goals)

This is a significant step during the Fall meeting as progress monitoring is just being set up for the year

**Step 6** Identify students who need further meeting or diagnostic assessments (e.g., individualized problem solving meetings).

Tiered DBDM - Seth Aldrich Ph.D.

23

## Routines and Procedures in a Tiered Problem Solving Process – Post Benchmark Grade Level Meetings

### Winter Meeting Considerations: Re-organizing and re-thinking

**Step 1** Examine grade level needs (Tier 1)

Has risk reduced from fall to winter?

Are there resource and/or professional development needs that need to be brought to the school/district team?

**Step 2** Prioritize students for targeted tiered intervention.

Winter benchmark data and progress monitoring data can help to prioritize for targeted supports.

At the winter benchmark it may be very important to release students from intervention who no longer need it. Students not identified at the fall benchmark can receive intervention or intervention groups can be smaller/more intense.

**Step 2b** Identify instructional needs based on formal and informal diagnostic assessments.

Hopefully, additional diagnostic data will be available so that intervention groups are targeted to students' needs. Examine progress monitor information. Are there students/groups of students who need an intervention change?

**Step 3** Plan and assign students to *targeted*, tiered intervention (Tier 3, Tier 2)

Having entire grade level, interventionists and a list of all available resources may help to effectively and efficiently re-organize mid-year.

**Step 4** Plan needed supports at Tier 1 (Don't overload Tier 2 and Tier 3)

**Step 5** Identify progress monitoring logistics (Identify measures, set goals)

Hopefully most progress monitoring logistics will have been addressed during the fall meeting

**Step 6** Identify students who need further meeting or diagnostic assessments

(e.g., individualized problem solving meetings and may begin to consider referrals for CSE evaluations).

Tiered DBDM - Seth Aldrich Ph.D.

24

## Routines and Procedures in a Tiered Problem Solving Process – Post Benchmark Grade Level Meetings

### Spring Meeting Considerations: A time of reflection and planning

#### Step 1 Examine grade level needs (Tier 1)

Has risk reduced from fall to winter to spring? Reflect on the progress all students have made.  
Have certain interventions been more effective than others?  
Are there resource and/or professional development needs that need to be brought to the school/district team?

#### Step 2 Prioritize students for targeted tiered intervention.

Spring benchmark data and progress monitoring data can help to prioritize for targeted supports next fall

#### Step 2b Identify instructional needs based on formal and informal diagnostic assessments. These needs probably won't go away over the summer.

#### Step 3 Plan and assign students to targeted, tiered intervention (Tier 3, Tier 2)

Make any final changes – There are still opportunities for learning!

#### Step 4 Plan needed supports at Tier 1 (for the fall)

#### Step 6 Identify students who need further meeting or diagnostic assessments

(e.g., Are there students who will need various supports immediately in the fall?)

Tiered DBDM - Seth Aldrich Ph.D.

25

## POST-BENCHMARK MEETING STEPS

Tiered DBDM - Seth Aldrich Ph.D.

26

### Step 1 Examine grade level needs and effectiveness of core instruction (Tier 1)

All teachers review and discuss grade/classroom level data (Note: By this time district or school level team may have reviewed data and have input for grade level team)

- Is core instruction working/effective/appropriate for the great majority (e.g., 75-80%) of students at grade level? Adapt vs adopt to assure instructional level for most all students.
- What changes need to be made to core instruction? (These issues may not be completely addressed at the data meeting and may need to be brought to school/district team for resource acquisition and professional development)
- Are there classwide problems to address?
- Plan differentiation and supports at Tier 1.
  - Some authors suggest that if there is evidence of a primary core or classwide issue, address the problem at Tier 1, do not implement Tier 2 and 3

May require further grade level meeting (or school/district team meeting) to further plan coordinated services and acquire needed resources and professional development.

Tiered DBDM - Seth Aldrich Ph.D.

27

Examples of charts used to identify proportion of students at risk and evaluate core instruction (program evaluation)

STAR



FastBridge



Tiered DBDM - Seth Aldrich Ph.D.


28

AIMSweb



Determine the overall number of students at some and high risk relative to resources required to address needs. Look at multi-year trends in data. Are we getting better? Set goals for improvement.

Step 1 Core – How many are at risk and are they getting better (winter, spring)?



Most measures like this use 'Criterion scores'. That is certain scores predict success (or not).

In this AIMSweb example, 34% were 'in the red' (high risk) during the fall benchmark. In a typical school 15% would be 'in the red'.

In a typical school: 55% would be 'green'; 15% would be red

Red – High Risk + o - 15<sup>th</sup> %tile or below  
Yellow – Some Risk - 16<sup>th</sup> to about 45<sup>th</sup> percentile (except for TEL, TEN 15<sup>th</sup> – 35<sup>th</sup> %tile)  
Green – Low risk + o - above the ~45<sup>th</sup> percentile (except for TEL, TEN above 35<sup>th</sup> %tile)

Tiered DBDM - Seth Aldrich Ph.D.

29

### Local Norms, National Norms, Criterion Cut Scores

**Criterion cut scores:** Indicate whether a student is low, some or high risk of being proficient (e.g., passing a statewide test). They are based on correlations of the screening measure with the high stakes test. Many times low risk corresponds to above about the 40-45<sup>th</sup> percentile nationally. The cut score for high risk is usually found to be at about the national 15<sup>th</sup> percentile.

**Local norms :** Compare students to others in same grade in same school or district. Typically used when making decisions to assign Tier 2 or Tier 3 interventions. Usually, schools can provide additional tiered intervention to 20-30% of students in the local population (depending on needs and available resources).

**National Norms:** Reality check. In high performing schools, 'below average' student based on local norms may be average nationally. In a low performing school, an 'average student' based on local norms may actually be at significant risk in an average performing school.

Tiered DBDM - Seth Aldrich Ph.D.

30

## Poll

### How many agree with the following statement?

1. Tier 2 and Tier 3 interventions should not be provided until 70-80% of students in a school are above the 25<sup>th</sup> percentile (based on national norms).  
Agree Disagree

2. Our school does not have the resources (staff, intervention resources) to effectively address more than 25 - 30% of our students in *additional* tiered, small group (1:5, 1:3) intervention in addition to core instruction.  
Agree Disagree

3. Based on test score data (state testing and or RTI data) our district is High achieving average low achieving Lake Woobegone (Everyone is above average)


Tiered DBDM - Seth Aldrich Ph.D.

31


### Step 1: Tier 1 Examples of AIMSweb charts used to identify proportion of students at risk and evaluate core instruction (Tier 1 program evaluation)

The Scores and Percentiles "Rainbow Report" can be changed to compare students to national, district, grade (school) and or classroom norms. It can also group students according to "high", "some" and "low" risk. AIMSweb calls this Tier 1, Tier 2 and Tier 3 however do not necessarily assign students to tiers by these descriptions.

Comparing students to peers in grade (local norm) will always result in bell curve of scores. Use this for resource allocation



Comparing students to aimsweb norm(national norm) is a 'reality check'. A student may be 'average' locally but below average in most other schools



Setting the report to criterion referenced (3 colors) designates risk of passing a typical state test. This may inform needed supports in Tier 1



Tiered DBDM - Seth Aldrich Ph.D.

32

### Step 1: Tier 1 Examples of FastBridge charts used to identify proportion of students at risk and evaluate core instruction (Tier 1 program evaluation)



The 'Group Screening Report' (Comparing class, School, District to National Norm) is one way to identify whether your grade level (or class) has a disproportionate number of students below the 20<sup>th</sup> or 30<sup>th</sup> percentile (norm referenced - color coded) and whether you have a disproportionate number of students who are at some or high risk of not passing a common core aligned state test (criterion referenced - !!). This data may suggest that a grade level needs to attack the problem with more than just multi-tiered interventions for the neediest students.

Tiered DBDM - Seth Aldrich Ph.D.

33

### Are they getting better over multiple years? (program evaluation)

STAR - Shows number at risk over multiple years




Retrieved 4/26/16: [www.renaissance.com/Products/Star-Assessment/Reports](http://www.renaissance.com/Products/Star-Assessment/Reports)

Tiered DBDM - Seth Aldrich Ph.D.

34

AIMSweb Shows 'average score' at each benchmark over several years



Tiered DBDM - Seth Aldrich Ph.D.

35

### Step 1 Review Examine grade level needs and effectiveness of core instruction (Tier 1)

Look at big picture:

- What % of students at grade are at some risk? At high risk?
- Is risk reducing over time (across the school year, over multiple years)? (Winter and Spring)
- Whose risk is reducing/increasing?
- How does your class/grade level compare (to schools district wide? Nationally?)
- What are possible areas of weakness (think 5 pillars of reading) in core?

### Step 1 Examine grade level needs and effectiveness of core instruction (Tier 1)

Reflecting on current practice

- What are the specific areas where many of our at-risk students are deficient?
- Is there data to suggest what aspects of core instruction need to be addressed?
- Are there reasons why some students are not making gains?

*Bring this information to the school/district RTI team*

Tiered DBDM - Seth Aldrich Ph.D.

36

## The problem solving model

Decisions at Step 1 of grade level data meeting are to:


1. Identify and understand grade level instructional strengths and weaknesses (Problem Identification and Problem Analysis)
2. Understand present level of performance for program evaluation
3. Make decisions about resource acquisition, allocation and professional development (Intervention Planning)
4. Set goals
5. Future benchmarks help to evaluate whether the plan is working

Tiered DBDM - Seth Aldrich Ph.D.

37

## Step 2 Prioritize students for targeted tiered intervention: Decision rules

Addressing needs of only those students below 30<sup>th</sup> percentile (local norm) may not be enough (especially in 'low performing' schools). On the other hand since low risk is associated with the 40<sup>th</sup> percentile nationally, most schools do not have the resources to put all students at some or high risk in Tier 2 or Tier 3.



Tiered DBDM - Seth Aldrich Ph.D.

38

## Step 2 Prioritize students for tiered interventions (Tier and Tier 3)



Tiered DBDM - Seth Aldrich Ph.D.

39

What guides the decision making?


- Knowing what resources are available (Intervention menu)
- Decision rules to guide decision making (Decision tree developed by School/District RTI Team)
- Creative ideas generated by the team at the data meeting on how to stretch resources and time to meet as many needs as possible

Tiered DBDM - Seth Aldrich Ph.D.

40

## Step 2b Identify instructional needs

In addition of **intensity of needs** based on scored consider **nature of need** when planning multi tiered supports. Universal screening may not provide enough information to determine **why** a student is struggling.



Tiered DBDM - Seth Aldrich Ph.D.

41

## Step 2b Identify instructional needs

### Diagnosis di-ag-no-sis

Date: 1655

- 1 a** : the art or act of identifying a disease from its signs and **symptoms** **b** : the decision reached by **diagnosis**  
**2** : a concise technical description of a taxon

- 3 a** : investigation or analysis of the cause or nature of a condition, situation, or problem <diagnosis of engine trouble> **b** : a statement or conclusion from such an analysis

<http://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/diagnosis>

Tiered DBDM - Seth Aldrich Ph.D.

42

## Step 2b Identify instructional needs Consider diagnostic assessments

Examples of 'Lower Level' to 'Higher Level' diagnostic assessments used in RTI

- **Informal observation of skills** (Listen to the child read, ask questions)
- **Semi-structured assessment Curriculum Based Evaluation** (e.g., assess, accuracy, error patterns in classroom text)
- **Information from universal screenings** (e.g., getting errors from universal screening assessments such as short vowel sound on LSF, recoding errors on NWF, strategies used with RCBM)
- **Commercially available assessments tests to survey skills** (Informal reading inventories, phonics inventory, phonemic awareness assessments, CTOPP-2, Woodcock Johnson-IV)

*Level and intensity of diagnostic assessment increases from Tier 1 to Tier 2 to Tier 3*

Tiered DSDM - Seth Aldrich Ph.D.

43

## Step 2b Identify instructional needs "Drilling Down"

If the student struggles with **comprehension**, check **fluency**

If the student struggles with fluency, check

**phonics and phonemic awareness**

**Ph and PA frequently contribute to reading difficulties – understanding these skills is essential!**

**Other factors**

Motivation?

Vocabulary?


Engagement?

Attendance?

Eyesight, hearing?

Not proficient in English?

Other? \_\_\_\_\_



Retrieved on 1/26/15 from <http://www.gettyimages.com/royalty-free-images/2012/10/14/107327388>

Tiered DSDM - Seth Aldrich Ph.D.

44

## Step 2b Identify instructional needs

How well can my students engage *in the curriculum materials I am using?*

Is this text at an independent, instructional level or do I need to scaffold?

[Reading Record](#)

(Also known as Curriculum-Based Evaluation)

Accuracy rate

Fluency rate

Vocabulary knowledge

Decoding skills

Use of strategies for reading text

Tiered DSDM - Seth Aldrich Ph.D.

45

## Experience of student reading with 85% Accuracy

15% of words replaced with nonsense words. Can you comprehend this?

How about student with low frustration tolerance? Weak language skills? Low motivation?

Is this an accuracy/instructional match issue or a 'comprehension' problem?

Once a child is judged as being at risk of having dropout/unfulfilled, frequent monitoring is needed to see whether nonjobs are proving useful. In light of the zinfable urgency to address shlopfole in at-risk students before they become severe, droflops should employ measures that are sensitive to meaningful improvement over chorplofe short periods of time (e.g., six to eight weeks of nonjob), yet are groping enough so as not to require a significant amount of time to vollester.

Tiered DSDM - Seth Aldrich Ph.D.

46

## 90% accuracy

Because the results of these droflops may be used for making high-stakes chorplofe (e.g., justifying inclusion in or exclusion from shlopfole programs, diagnosing vollester disability) it is essential that assessments have adequate qualities, including reliability and validity, and do not result in groping over- or under-identification of ELLs ("false positives" and "false negatives").

Tiered DSDM - Seth Aldrich Ph.D.

47

## 95% accuracy

Even when at-risk students are provided with evidence-based intervention, it is shlopfole to monitor progress in a frequent, ongoing manner. Just because a particular groping is effective for most students, it will not necessarily be effective for all students. It is droflops to implement interventions with an open mind, evaluate response objectively and modify as necessary.

Tiered DSDM - Seth Aldrich Ph.D.

48



### Step 3 Plan and Assign students to *targeted*, tiered intervention (Tier 3, Tier 2)

- Identify all staff and resources that can deliver evidence based intervention in small groups throughout the school day.
- Get a rough but realistic sense for how many students will require interventions of the highest intensity (e.g., 1:1; 3:1; daily )
  - Get consensus of students who will warrant Tier 3 interventions.
  - Determine students who warrant Tier 2 (2a – less intense, 2b more intense) and dig into list as far as the team believes resources and scheduling may allow
  - Group students according to **intensity and nature** of needs.

Tiered DBDM - Seth Aldrich Ph.D.

49

### Step 3 Plan and Assign students to *targeted*, tiered intervention (Tier 3, Tier 2)

- Discuss standard protocol interventions for *groups* (frequency, length, staff, materials, training).
  - What are some specific skills needs of students?
- Create instruction/intervention groups based on similar needs and similar intensity of need.
- Plan instruction/intervention based on targeted needs.

Tiered DBDM - Seth Aldrich Ph.D.

50

### Step 3 Plan and Assign students to *targeted*, tiered intervention (Tier 3, Tier 2)

Class Distribution by Scores and Percentile  
 Mathematics Intervention and Intervention Status  
 Reading Intervention and Intervention Status

Score	Percentile	Intervention	Intervention Status
100-120	75-95%	Standard	Standard
80-100	40-75%	Standard	Standard
60-80	15-40%	Standard	Standard
40-60	5-15%	Standard	Standard
20-40	1-5%	Standard	Standard
0-20	0-1%	Standard	Standard

Start with students the group agrees upon are most at risk, discuss needs and prioritize for Tier 3. Then do the same for Tier 2 until resources are expended.

Assign interventions based on intensity as well as *nature* of need (not chart color)

Collaborate creatively and extend resources

Get Tier 1 supports

Get Tier 2,3 supports

Start with students the group agrees upon are most at risk, discuss needs and prioritize for Tier 3. Then do the same for Tier 2 until resources are expended.

Assign interventions based on intensity as well as *nature* of need (not chart color)

Collaborate creatively and extend resources

Tiered DBDM - Seth Aldrich Ph.D.

51

### Step 3 Plan and Assign students to *targeted*, tiered intervention (Tier 3, Tier 2)

Grade: Meeting Date: Staff present:				
Students Identified for Tier 3 interventions (based on # cut point)				
Student Name	Need (as determined by all available assessments)	Intervention(s) (including strategies for core instruction)	Identify any barriers that need to be addressed for intervention to be implemented effectively	Progress monitor Name of assessment (e.g., NWF, RCBM, MCOMPI, frequency)
Emily	Fluency		Staff training	CBMReading
Mary	Phonics, PA		E-S Materials and training	Nonsense words

Students Identified for Tier 2 interventions (based on # cut point)				
Student Name	Need (as determined by all available assessments)	Intervention(s) (including strategies for core instruction)	Identify any barriers that need to be addressed for intervention to be implemented effectively	Progress monitor Name of assessment (e.g., NWF, RCBM, MCOMPI, frequency)
Madison	Fluency	Read Naturally	Staff training	CBMReading

Tiered DBDM - Seth Aldrich Ph.D.

52

### Step 3 Plan and assign students to *targeted*, tiered intervention (Tier 3, Tier 2)

#### Document interventions in database.

#### We will discuss this more in Webinar 3 May 17

<sup>1</sup> Who: List who is involved in literacy instruction and intervention. This helps us to document that tiered interventions are provided by 'qualified staff' (a core requirement of RTI).

<sup>2</sup> Describe the nature of the intervention: Please describe core instruction and how it is differentiated for struggling students. If you use an evidence-based intervention it will have a name and can be replicated; you only need to name it as long as it is implemented as intended. Example evidence-based interventions might include: 'Read Naturally', 'Foundations' or 'Wilson', or 'Repeated Reading'. You may also be implementing behavior interventions for some students that could be documented in the 'what'.

<sup>3</sup> Where does it occur?: Tiered interventions can be delivered in or out of the classroom.

<sup>4</sup> When during the day?: The important part of when is that supplemental tiered interventions are not part of the 90 minutes of core instruction recommended. If because of scheduling they occur during the 90 minute block, indicate how core instruction time is made up at other times during the day.

<sup>5</sup> Why: the intervention was chosen: Describe why the tiered intervention(s) or supplemental strategies within core instruction were chosen. For example, does the student have weakness in phonics and the strategy/intervention is proven to be effective for improving phonics skills? Information from 'diagnostic' assessments might be used to target intervention and/or supplemental/differentiated instruction in the core.

<sup>6</sup> Frequency: Tier 2 might be 3-5 days per week, Tier 3 would typically be 5 days per week

<sup>7</sup> Time spent during the day: Tier 2 would be 30 to 30 minutes of supplemental instruction beyond 90 minutes of core instruction. Tier 3 interventions would be 20 minutes, 10 minutes, one hour, during 1st period, etc.

<sup>8</sup> Other information: In addition to literacy instruction and intervention, other intervention such as a behavior plan may be described as it is relevant to the student's engagement and participation in instruction.

Tiered DBDM - Seth Aldrich Ph.D.

53

### Step 4 Plan needed supports at Tier 1

- Based on finite resources there may be some students with needs who may not be served in Tier 3 or 2. Identify students whose needs can (or must) be addressed through differentiation/interventions at Tier 1. Create classroom interventions when necessary. Do not overload Tier 2!!

Staff working together at a data team meetings can often come up with creative ideas about time, scheduling, resources and staff that can increase the number of students served in Tier 2 and 3 supports as well as Tier 1. Consider resources such as evidence-based technology and peer mediated interventions to provide supports.

#### Students receiving supports/intervention at Tier 1

Grade: Meeting Date: Staff present:				
Student Name	Need (as determined by all available assessments)	Supports and modifications to be provided at Tier 1	Identify any barriers that need to be addressed for intervention to be implemented effectively	Progress monitor Name of assessment (e.g., NWF, RCBM, MCOMPI, frequency if applicable)

Tiered DBDM - Seth Aldrich Ph.D.

54

**Step 5 Identify progress monitoring logistics:****Identify the students, measure and frequency***Don't miss the 5/17 Webinar: "Progress Monitoring Essentials"*

Determine **students who will have regular (e.g., weekly, bi-weekly) progress monitoring, which skills need to be assessed, and develop realistic but ambitious catch up goals aligned to need/intervention(s).**

Student Name	Need (as determined by all available assessments)	Intervention* (including strategies for core instruction)	Identify any barriers that need to be addressed for intervention to be implemented effectively	Progress monitor (Name of assessment (e.g., NN/I, HCBAS), frequency)

Tiered DBDM - Seth Aldrich Ph.D.

55

**Step 5 Progress monitoring logistics : Set ambitious but realistic goals**

- **Norm referenced** - Can the student meet grade level expectations similar to peers?
- **Criterion referenced** - Can the student meet a criteria e.g., low risk for failing a state test?
- **Rate of Improvement** - Can the student make reasonable but ambitious catch up growth?
- **Intra-Individual Framework** – Can the student make reasonable growth based on his or her unique learning needs?

Tiered DBDM - Seth Aldrich Ph.D.

56

**Prioritizing students who need social, emotional and behavioral supports**

Because of the confidential nature of some social, emotional and behavioral difficulties, grade level meetings may prioritize problems based on data (e.g., SAEBRS) however details and intervention planning may be more appropriately discussed in a separate meeting with the classroom teacher and support staff.

**FastBridge (SAEBRS)**
**AIMSweb BESS, SSIS**
**Teacher Nomination**

Tiered DBDM - Seth Aldrich Ph.D.

57

**Step 6 Identify students who need further meeting or diagnostic assessment**

**Discuss and prioritize students who need different type of meeting (e.g., Parent or Individualized problem solving meeting) and or assessment**

Student Name	Additional assessment (Please specify type)	Person(s) responsible for further assessment	Additional meeting? Please specify	Person responsible for planning/dates of meeting/invitees

Tiered DBDM - Seth Aldrich Ph.D.

58

**After the post-benchmark meeting:  
Follow up and communication**

- How will you share information with parents?
- *How will you keep in touch with case manager* for needed supports and to assure that interventions are being implemented as planned?
- How will you encourage teachers to *seek help* if they are struggling with instruction/interventions and need support?

**Remember: Follow through is "high stakes"**

Tiered DBDM - Seth Aldrich Ph.D.

59

**Progress Monitor Check Up Meetings**

**Purpose: Strengthen, modify or change instruction for students who are not making progress**

September	In-between	January	In-between	May-June
Post Benchmark (Screening)	Progress monitoring check up meeting(s)	Post Benchmark (Screening)	Progress monitoring check up meeting(s)	Post Benchmark (Screening)

Tiered DBDM - Seth Aldrich Ph.D.

60

## Progress Monitor Check Up Meetings

Frequency	Members	Purpose
At least once in Fall and Spring, 6 – 8 weeks after universal screening administration, but could also be incorporated into regularly scheduled grade level meetings (e.g., collegial circles, team meetings, meetings with instructional coaches)	Might include: Grade level teachers, interventionists at that grade level, school administrator, school psychologist and or other staff that can facilitate discussions based on data and match problems to interventions. Having all players' in the room makes coordination and re-allocation of resources easier.	"Check up" for students receiving Tier 2 and Tier 3 interventions to make any needed adjustments with all relevant players in the room. Recent diagnostic data may also inform instructional/intervention decisions.

Tiered DBDM - Seth Aldrich Ph.D.

61

## Poll

What systems does your school have in place to review progress monitoring data

1. Mid benchmark data meetings (e.g., November, March)
2. Monthly grade level meetings during which we formally review data
3. Collegial circles during which teachers formally review data
4. Interventions reviews data with teachers (1:1) regularly
5. I review data for my students myself
6. No review of progress monitor data
7. We do not collect progress monitor data

Tiered DBDM - Seth Aldrich Ph.D.

62

## Process and Procedures for Progress Monitor Check Up Meetings

### 1. Who is making progress? (*Celebrate!*)

*-Are there patterns of what's working?*

*- It is essential to allow for students to be dismissed from tiered intervention to provide room for or increase intensity for others*

### 2. Who needs a core instruction/intervention change?

– For those not progressing, determine needs. Discuss current instruction, strategies, interventions, supports (Classroom instruction as well as any supplemental supports) and **needed changes**. Consider other factors such as behavior, attendance *over which school has control* .

**Remember - Interventions should be coordinated with classroom instruction**

Tiered DBDM - Seth Aldrich Ph.D.

63

## Process and Procedures for Progress Monitor Check Up Meetings

- **Are there groups that have similar needs?**
  - Discuss new standard protocols
- Plan and document intervention changes for groups.
  - Frequency, length, staff, materials, training
- Discuss and prioritize students who need a different type of meeting.
  - Parent, Problem Solving, Multi-disciplinary team

Tiered DBDM - Seth Aldrich Ph.D.

64

## Process and Procedures for Progress Monitor Check Up Meetings

- Document interventions in a database that corresponds with student progress monitoring.
- Plan to share information with parents.

**Having everyone at the table,  
interventionists, teachers, administrators, support staff,  
allows for decision making and flexibility.**

Tiered DBDM - Seth Aldrich Ph.D.

65

*Thanks!*

Tiered DBDM - Seth Aldrich Ph.D.

66