ANALYZING THE RESULTS of the New York State Self-Assessment Tool for RtI Readiness and Implementation

RESPONSE TO INTERVENTION

Technical Assistance Center

The attached documents are intended for use by a school's or a district's RtI Design Team for the purposes of:

- 1. summarizing and analyzing the results from the NYS Self-Assessment Tool for RtI Readiness and Implementation
- 2. prioritizing RtI elements or features in terms of importance or priority
- 3. using results to construct an implementation plan that will guide the RtI process in their respective schools/districts

The attached documents include:

- **1. FORM A: SUMMARIZING YOUR SCHOOL/DISTRICT SCORES**—use this form to list the scores of each individual who completed the self-assessment.
- 2. FORM B: INTERPRETING RESULTS AND ESTABLISHING PRIORITY—use this form to prioritize Rtl features/elements in terms of high to low priority.
- 3. FORM C: RTI IMPLEMENTATION PLAN TEMPLATE— use this form to develop a plan that will guide and support the RtI process in your school/district

DIRECTIONS

After the self-assessment tool has been completed by school personnel, the process of summarizing and analyzing results begins.

First, list the results of each individual score by completing FORM A: SUMMARIZING YOUR SCHOOL/DISTRICT SCORES.

Second, prioritize those Rtl elements/features from high (1) to low (3) using FORM B: INTERPRETING RESULTS AND ESTABLISHING PRIORITY.

Third, construct an implementation plan using **FORM C: RTI IMPLEMENTATION PLAN TEMPLATE** (note: plan can be developed for one, two, or more years).

FORM A: SUMMARIZING YOUR SCHOOL/DISTRICT SCORES

Directions: After each school staff member or district RtI member has completed the RtI self-assessment, enter scores in the table below. Make as many copies as needed. Compute the average score for each RtI element, by adding the scores from each column and dividing by the number of participants listed.

Name of School Staff Member	Tiered Intervention: Tier 1	Tiered Intervention: Tier 2	Tiered Intervention: Tier 3	Assessment: Screening	Assessment: Progress Monitoring	Leadership	Professional Development	Teaming/ Collaboration	Parent Involvement
TOTAL									
AVERAGE									

FORM B: INTERPRETING RESULTS AND ESTABLISHING PRIORITY

Directions: This part of the Rtl readiness and implementation analysis should be conducted by the school or district Rtl Design Team.

First, the team should collectively average the scores of all staff who were administered the selfassessment tool in each key area and record it in the space provided.

Second, the team should prioritize RtI elements or features that need to be addressed by rating its relative priority according to the following scale:

1 = high priority; 2 = medium priority; 3 = low priority. Practices ranked as "1" would need to be established and implemented before those ranked as "2"; practices ranked as "2" would be addressed prior to those ranked as "3".

Third, use the results obtained from Level of Implementation and Priority to develop an RtI Implementation plan. (Implementation plan template provided on following page.)

		Average Score Level of Implementation	Priority
1.	Tiered Intervention: Tier 1		
2.	Tiered Intervention: Tier 2		
3.	Tiered Intervention: Tier 3		
4.	Assessment: Screening		
5.	Assessment: Progress Monitoring		
6.	Infrastructure		
7.	Leadership		
8.	Professional Development		
9.	Teaming/Collaboration		
10	. Parent Involvement		

FORM C: RTI IMPLEMENTATION TEMPLATE

Name of School:	District:				
D+I Imn	Jamontation Dlan—Voor				
RtI Implementation Plan—Year					
	20 20				
Key RtI Element/Feature:					

Specific Rtl Indicator	Action	Resources	Timeline	Who's Responsible	Evidence of Change
Specific Kir malcator	Action	Resources	Timeline	Responsible	Evidence of Change